Feasibility Assessment Ranking Scores Table

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENTS	CRITERIA	I-1	I-2	I-3	I-4	I-5	I-6	I-7	I-8	I-9	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	0-5	0-6	0-7	O-8
Natural Environment		•	•		•			•	•			•	•		•			
Aquatic environment	Fish habitat	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2
	Fish community/species	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Aquatic Species at Risk	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Terrestrial environment	Habitat, vegetation																	
	communities, plant life	0	3	1	1	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
	Protected areas	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wetlands	2	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	4	1	1	1	2
	Birds	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
	Other wildlife	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
	Rare species/Species at																	
	Risk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Groundwater	Quality	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Quantity and flow	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Surface water	Quality	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2
	Quantity and flow	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Atmospheric environment	Air quality (e.g., landfill gas																	
	emissions)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Greenhouse gas emissions	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	3	3
Geology, soils	Surficial geology	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Soil contamination	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Social Environment																		
Land use & resources	Existing land uses																	
	(residences, businesses)	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Planned land uses and land																	
	use policies	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Land resources	0	2	2	4	5	2	3	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	5
Noise	Noise levels	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Sensitive receptor locations	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Public health and safety	Water wells/ drinking water																	
	supplies	1	2	2	3	l 1	l 1	l 1	l 1	1	2	l 1	1	l 1	1	l 1	2	1 1
	Effects related to litter,																	
	odours, and dust	1	l 1	l 1	l 1	l 1	l 1	l 1	l 1	1	1	l 1	1	l 1	1	l 1	1 1	1
	Road safety	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Aboriginal communities	Traditional uses of land and																	
	resources	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Built heritage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Archaeological sites	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Cemeteries, burial grounds	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Feasibility Assessment Ranking Scores Table

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENTS	CRITERIA	I-1	I-2	I-3	I-4	I-5	I-6	I-7	I-8	I-9	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	O-5	0-6	0-7	O-8
Recreation	Trails	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	1
	Parks and other designated																	
	recreation areas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transportation	Road infrastructure	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Air traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Visual aesthetics	Visual landscape quality	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Municipal and community services	Municipal infrastructure &																	
	services	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cultural Environment																		
Heritage	Built heritage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other cultural features	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Archaeology	Archaeological sites	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Cemeteries, burial grounds,																	
	other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Economic Environment																		
Local economy	Labour market, local																	
	employment	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Local businesses	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Municipal finances	Revenues and expenses	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Total Score	41	58	57	60	57	59	59	52	56	61	59	57	63	59	61	61	64

Feasibility Assessment Ranking System Legend:

Level of Concern/ Potential Impact Rating	Ranking	Description
None	0	The site causes little or no affect to the environmental component and causes no concern among government reviewers and/or the public.
Low	1	Where the site may affect the environmental component, in such a way that only a portion of the component is disturbed for a short period of time.
Low to Medium	2	Where the site may affect the environmental component between the low and medium rating.
Medium	3	Where the site may affect the environmental component, so as to bring about a disturbance but does not threaten the integrity, distribution, operation, or abundance of the component as determined by government reviewers and the public. Short-term effects associated with construction and operation of facilities also constitute a potential for moderate effects/concerns.
Medium to High	4	Where the site may affect the environmental component between the medium and high rating.
High	5	Where the site may affect the environmental component, so as to seriously disturb the integrity, distribution, operation, or abundance of the component and is expected to raise serious concern with government reviewers and / or the public.